



# MCMII-III

MILLON® CLINICAL  
MULTIAXIAL INVENTORY-III

## MCMII-III

Millon® Clinical Multiaxial Inventory-III

Interpretive Report with Grossman Facet Scales

*Theodore Millon, PhD, DSc*

---

Name:	Joan Sample
ID Number:	12566
Age:	44
Gender:	Female
Setting:	Outpatient Never Hospitalized
Race:	White
Marital Status:	Divorced
Date Assessed:	11/30/2014



Copyright © 1994, 2005, 2009 DICANDRIEN, Inc. All rights reserved.

**Pearson**, the **PSI logo**, and **PsychCorp** are trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries of Pearson Education, Inc., or its affiliate(s). **MCMII** and **Millon** are registered trademarks of DICANDRIEN, Inc. **DSM-IV** is a registered trademark of the American Psychiatric Association.

**TRADE SECRET INFORMATION**

Not for release under HIPAA or other data disclosure laws that exempt trade secrets from disclosure.

[ 7.3 / RE1 / QG1 ]

9588

## CAPSULE SUMMARY

MCMII-III reports are normed on patients who were in the early phases of assessment or psychotherapy for emotional discomfort or social difficulties. Respondents who do not fit this normative population or who have inappropriately taken the MCMII-III for nonclinical purposes may have inaccurate reports. The MCMII-III report cannot be considered definitive. It should be evaluated in conjunction with additional clinical data. The report should be evaluated by a mental health clinician trained in the use of psychological tests. The report should not be shown to patients or their relatives.

### Interpretive Considerations

The client is a 44-year-old divorced white female. She is currently being seen as an outpatient, and she did not identify specific problems and difficulties of an Axis I nature in the demographic portion of this test.

This patient's response style may indicate a tendency to magnify illness, an inclination to complain, or feelings of extreme vulnerability associated with a current episode of acute turmoil. The patient's scale scores may be somewhat exaggerated, and the interpretations should be read with this in mind.

### Profile Severity

On the basis of the test data, it may be assumed that the patient is experiencing a severe mental disorder; further professional observation and inpatient care may be appropriate. The text of the following interpretive report may need to be modulated upward given this probable level of severity.

### Possible Diagnoses

She appears to fit the following Axis II classifications best: Negativistic (Passive-Aggressive) Personality Disorder, and Borderline Personality Disorder, with Dependent Personality Traits, and Avoidant Personality Features.

Axis I clinical syndromes are suggested by the client's MCMII-III profile in the areas of Major Depression (recurrent, severe, without psychotic features), Generalized Anxiety Disorder, and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder.

### Therapeutic Considerations

Inconsistent and pessimistic, this patient may expect to be mishandled, if not harmed, even by well-intentioned therapists. Sensitive to messages of disapproval and lack of interest, she may complain excessively and be irritable and erratic in her relations with therapists. Straightforward and consistent communication may moderate her dependent/negativistic attitude. Focused, brief treatment approaches are likely to overcome her initial oppositional outlook.

*This section summarizes the patient's demographics, reported complaints, duration of disorder, severity of difficulties, possible DSM-IV diagnoses, and likely course of treatment.*

### MILLON CLINICAL MULTIAXIAL INVENTORY - III

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FOR PROFESSIONAL USE ONLY

INVALIDITY (SCALE V) = 0    INCONSISTENCY (SCALE W) = 4  
 PERSONALITY CODE:    8A 3 \*\* 2A 2B \* 6A 8B 1 + 6B 5 " 7 4 ' ' // C \*\* - \* //  
 SYNDROME CODE:    A D \*\* T R \* // CC \*\* - \* //  
 DEMOGRAPHIC CODE:    12566/ON/F/44/M/D/-/--/--/-----/--/-----/

*Scale W works in a complementary fashion with the existing "V" scale to better detect random responding.*

*These indices measure validity.*

CATEGORY	SCORE	PROFILE OF BR SCORES				DIAGNOSTIC SCALES		
		RAW	BR	0	60		75	85
MODIFYING INDICES	X	163	93	[Bar chart: 93]				DISCLOSURE
	Y	4	20	[Bar chart: 20]				DESIRABILITY
	Z	28	90	[Bar chart: 90]				DEBASEMENT
CLINICAL PERSONALITY PATTERNS	1	13	62	[Bar chart: 62]				SCHIZOID
	2A	20	83	[Bar chart: 83]				AVOIDANT
	2B	20	83	[Bar chart: 83]				DEPRESSIVE
	3	22	93	[Bar chart: 93]				DEPENDENT
	4	7	12	[Bar chart: 12]				HISTRIONIC
	5	12	40	[Bar chart: 40]				NARCISSISTIC
	6A	14	66	[Bar chart: 66]				ANTISOCIAL
	6B	14	57	[Bar chart: 57]				SADISTIC
	7	8	16	[Bar chart: 16]				COMPULSIVE
	8A	24	94	[Bar chart: 94]				NEGATIVISTIC
8B	13	65	[Bar chart: 65]				MASOCHISTIC	
SEVERE PERSONALITY PATHOLOGY	S	16	65	[Bar chart: 65]				SCHIZOTYPAL
	C	23	93	[Bar chart: 93]				BORDERLINE
	P	15	68	[Bar chart: 68]				PARANOID
CLINICAL SYNDROMES	A	17	97	[Bar chart: 97]				ANXIETY
	H	13	66	[Bar chart: 66]				SOMATOFORM
	N	11	71	[Bar chart: 71]				BIPOLAR: MANIC
	D	17	88	[Bar chart: 88]				DYSTHYMIA
	B	8	68	[Bar chart: 68]				ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE
	T	14	76	[Bar chart: 76]				DRUG DEPENDENCE
	R	18	76	[Bar chart: 76]				POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS
SEVERE CLINICAL SYNDROMES	SS	17	70	[Bar chart: 70]				THOUGHT DISORDER
	CC	21	100	[Bar chart: 100]				MAJOR DEPRESSION
	PP	7	63	[Bar chart: 63]				DELUSIONAL DISORDER

*A score of 75 and above is considered clinically elevated.*

MILLON CLINICAL MULTIAXIAL INVENTORY - III  
 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FOR PROFESSIONAL USE ONLY

FACET SCORES FOR HIGHEST PERSONALITY SCALES BR 65 OR HIGHER

HIGHEST PERSONALITY SCALE BR 65 OR HIGHER: SCALE 8A Negativistic (Passive-Aggressive)

SCALE	SCORE		PROFILE OF BR SCORES						FACET SCALES
	RAW	BR	0	60	70	80	90	100	
8A.1	8	93							Temperamentally Irritable
8A.2	6	98							Expressively Resentful
8A.3	5	85							Discontented Self-Image

SECOND-HIGHEST PERSONALITY SCALE BR 65 OR HIGHER: SCALE C Borderline

SCALE	SCORE		PROFILE OF BR SCORES						FACET SCALES
	RAW	BR	0	60	70	80	90	100	
C.1	9	98							Temperamentally Labile
C.2	8	99							Interpersonally Paradoxical
C.3	5	79							Uncertain Self-Image

THIRD-HIGHEST PERSONALITY SCALE BR 65 OR HIGHER: SCALE 3 Dependent

SCALE	SCORE		PROFILE OF BR SCORES						FACET SCALES
	RAW	BR	0	60	70	80	90	100	
3.1	8	100							Inept Self-Image
3.2	7	98							Interpersonally Submissive
3.3	4	92							Immature Representations

*The Grossman facet scales help to pinpoint specific personality processes that underlie overall scale elevations.*

MILLON CLINICAL MULTIAXIAL INVENTORY - III  
 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FOR PROFESSIONAL USE ONLY

COMPLETE LISTING OF MCMIII GROSSMAN FACET SCALE SCORES

	RAW	BR		RAW	BR
<b>1 Schizoid</b>			<b>6B Sadistic</b>		
1.1 Temperamentally Apathetic	6	93	6B.1 Temperamentally Hostile	6	97
1.2 Interpersonally Unengaged	6	82	6B.2 Eruptive Organization	5	91
1.3 Expressively Impassive	5	90	6B.3 Pernicious Representations	5	88
<b>2A Avoidant</b>			<b>7 Compulsive</b>		
2A.1 Interpersonally Aversive	7	95	7.1 Cognitively Constricted	4	31
2A.2 Alienated Self-Image	8	93	7.2 Interpersonally Respectful	2	20
2A.3 Vexatious Representations	4	76	7.3 Reliable Self-Image	3	34
<b>2B Depressive</b>			<b>8A Negativistic</b>		
2B.1 Temperamentally Woeful	7	100	8A.1 Temperamentally Irritable	8	93
2B.2 Worthless Self-Image	5	79	8A.2 Expressively Resentful	6	98
2B.3 Cognitively Fatalistic	7	97	8A.3 Discontented Self-Image	5	85
<b>3 Dependent</b>			<b>8B Masochistic</b>		
3.1 Inept Self-Image	8	100	8B.1 Discredited Representations	7	98
3.2 Interpersonally Submissive	7	98	8B.2 Cognitively Diffident	7	96
3.3 Immature Representations	4	92	8B.3 Undeserving Self-Image	6	83
<b>4 Histrionic</b>			<b>S Schizotypal</b>		
4.1 Gregarious Self-Image	2	21	S.1 Estranged Self-Image	9	97
4.2 Interpersonally Attention-Seeking	5	65	S.2 Cognitively Autistic	5	89
4.3 Expressively Dramatic	0	0	S.3 Chaotic Representations	7	98
<b>5 Narcissistic</b>			<b>C Borderline</b>		
5.1 Admirable Self-Image	2	15	C.1 Temperamentally Labile	9	98
5.2 Cognitively Expansive	2	59	C.2 Interpersonally Paradoxical	8	99
5.3 Interpersonally Exploitive	6	96	C.3 Uncertain Self-Image	5	79
<b>6A Antisocial</b>			<b>P Paranoid</b>		
6A.1 Expressively Impulsive	5	82	P.1 Cognitively Mistrustful	2	77
6A.2 Acting-Out Mechanism	5	86	P.2 Expressively Defensive	3	84
6A.3 Interpersonally Irresponsible	6	98	P.3 Projection Mechanism	8	99

For each of the Clinical Personality Patterns and Severe Personality Pathology scales (the scale names shown in **bold**), scores on the three facet scales are shown beneath the scale name.

## RESPONSE TENDENCIES

*This section provides information about the patient's approach to the test and any score adjustments that were made as a result.*

This patient's response style may indicate a broad tendency to magnify the level of experienced illness or a characterological inclination to complain or to be self-pitying. On the other hand, the response style may convey feelings of extreme vulnerability that are associated with a current episode of acute turmoil. Whatever the impetus for the response style, the patient's scale scores, particularly those on Axis I, may be somewhat exaggerated, and the interpretation of this profile should be made with this consideration in mind.

The BR scores reported for this individual have been modified to account for the high self-revealing inclinations indicated by the high raw score on Scale X (Disclosure) and the psychic tension and dejection indicated by the elevations on Scale A (Anxiety) and Scale D (Dysthymia).

## AXIS II: PERSONALITY PATTERNS

*This section describes the basic features that characterize the patient's personality pattern and level of impairment.*

The following paragraphs refer to those enduring and pervasive personality traits that underlie this woman's emotional, cognitive, and interpersonal difficulties. Rather than focus on the largely transitory symptoms that make up Axis I clinical syndromes, this section concentrates on her more habitual and maladaptive methods of relating, behaving, thinking, and feeling.

There is reason to believe that at least a moderate level of pathology characterizes the overall personality organization of this woman. Defective psychic structures suggest a failure to develop adequate internal cohesion and a less than satisfactory hierarchy of coping strategies. This woman's foundation for effective intrapsychic regulation and socially acceptable interpersonal conduct appears deficient or incompetent. She is subjected to the flux of her own enigmatic attitudes and contradictory behavior, and her sense of psychic coherence is often precarious. She has probably had a checkered history of disappointments in her personal and family relationships. Deficits in her social attainments may also be notable as well as a tendency to precipitate self-defeating vicious circles. Earlier aspirations may have resulted in frustrating setbacks and efforts to achieve a consistent niche in life may have failed. Although she is usually able to function on a satisfactory basis, she may experience periods of marked emotional, cognitive, or behavioral dysfunction.

The MCMIII profile of this woman suggests her marked dependency needs, deep and variable moods, and impulsive, angry outbursts. She may anxiously seek reassurance from others and is especially vulnerable to fear of separation from those who provide support, despite her frequent attempts to undo their efforts to be helpful. Dependency fears may compel her to be alternately overly compliant, profoundly gloomy, and irrationally argumentative and negativistic. Almost seeking to court undeserved blame and criticism, she may appear to find circumstances to anchor her feeling that she deserves to suffer.

She strives at times to be submissive and cooperative, but her behavior has become increasingly unpredictable, irritable, and pessimistic. She often seeks to induce guilt in others for failing her, as she sees it. Repeatedly struggling to express attitudes contrary to her feelings, she may exhibit conflicting emotions simultaneously toward others and herself, most notably love, rage, and guilt. Also notable may be her confusion over her self-image, her highly variable energy levels, easy fatigability, and her irregular sleep-wake cycle.

She is particularly sensitive to external pressure and demands, and she may vacillate between being socially agreeable, sullen, self-pitying, irritably aggressive, and contrite. She may make irrational and bitter complaints about the lack of care expressed by others and about being treated unfairly. This behavior keeps others on edge, never knowing if she will react to them in a cooperative or a sulky manner. Although she may make efforts to be obliging and submissive to others, she has learned to anticipate disillusioning relationships, and she often creates the expected disappointment by constantly questioning and doubting the genuine interest and support shown by others. Self-destructive acts and suicidal gestures may be employed to gain attention. These irritable testing maneuvers may exasperate and alienate those on whom she depends. When threatened by separation and disapproval, she may express guilt, remorse, and self-condemnation in the hope of regaining support, reassurance, and sympathy.

Beyond her helplessness and clinging behavior, she may exhibit an irritable argumentativeness. Recognizing that others may have grown weary of this behavior, she may alternate between voicing gloomy self-deprecation, being apologetic and repentant, and being petulant and bitter. A struggle between dependent acquiescence and assertive independence constantly intrudes into most relationships. Her inability to regulate her emotional controls, her feeling of being misunderstood, and her erratic moodiness contribute to innumerable wrangles and conflicts with others and to persistent tension, resentfulness, and depression.

*There are a total of 42 facet scales tied to the primary personality scales.*

## **GROSSMAN PERSONALITY FACET SCALES**

The Grossman facet scales are designed to aid in the interpretation of elevations on the Clinical Personality Patterns and Severe Personality Pathology scales by helping to pinpoint the specific personality processes (e.g., self-image, interpersonal relations) that underlie overall scale elevations. A careful analysis of this patient's facet scale scores suggests that the following characteristics are among her most prominent personality features.

Most notable is her view of herself as weak, fragile, and inadequate to meet life's tasks competently or with ease, a generalized deficit in self-confidence that is aggravated by the habit of belittling her own abilities. Much of this self-belittling has little basis in reality. Clinically, this pattern of self-deprecation may best be conceived as a strategy by which she elicits assurances that she is worthy and loved. Hence, it serves as an instrument for evoking praise and support.

Also salient is her need for supportive signs of affection and attention from others, which is unfortunately undermined by her volatile, mercurial, and unpredictable behavior. Her behavior almost invariably elicits erratic, angry, and rejecting responses from others rather than the warmth she desires. In an unpredictable and frantic reaction to her fear of abandonment and isolation, she may become mercurially angry and explosive, hence damaging her security rather than eliciting the care she seeks. Not only does she need protection and reassurance to maintain equanimity, but she becomes inordinately vulnerable to separation from these external sources of support. Isolation may terrify her not only because she lacks a solid sense of self, but also because she is incapable of taking mature, self-determined, and independent action.

Also worthy of attention is her pattern of changing moods that shift erratically from normality to depression to excitement, with chronic feelings of dejection and apathy interspersed with brief spells of

anger, euphoria, and anxiety. The intensity of her affect and the changeability of her actions are striking. She generally fails to accord her unstable mood levels with external reality. She may exhibit a single, dominant outlook or temperament, such as a self-ingratiating depressive tone, which periodically gives way to anxious agitation or impulsive outbursts of anger or resentment. She may engage in self-destructive behavior, but she usually realizes later that her behavior was irrational and foolish.

Also noteworthy is her inclination to subordinate her own wishes to a stronger and (she hopes) nurturing person, resulting in the habit of being conciliatory, deferential, and self-sacrificing. She probably believes that it is best to abdicate responsibility, to leave matters to others, and to place her fate in others' hands. In her view, other people are much better equipped to shoulder responsibility, to navigate the intricacies of a complex world, and to discover and achieve the pleasures to be found in the competitions of life.

Also noteworthy are her manifestation of purposeful inefficiency, her gratification in undermining the pleasures and expectations of others, and an overt display of intentionally contrary and oppositional behavior. Although nearly everyone behaves resentfully at times, what distinguishes this individual is the ease with which she can be provoked into acting in a resentful manner and the regularity with which she manifests procrastination, inefficiency, and obstinate behavior.

Early treatment efforts are likely to produce optimal results if they are oriented toward modifying the personality features just described.

## AXIS I: CLINICAL SYNDROMES

*← syndromes interpreted in the context of the patient's personality pattern.*

The features and dynamics of the following Axis I clinical syndromes appear worthy of description and analysis. They may arise in response to external precipitants but are likely to reflect and accentuate several of the more enduring and pervasive aspects of this woman's basic personality makeup.

Testy and demanding, this woman evinces an agitated, major depression that can be noted by her daily moodiness and vacillation. She is likely to display a rapidly shifting mix of disparaging comments about herself, anxiously expressed suicidal thoughts, and outbursts of bitter resentment interwoven with a demanding irritability toward others. Feeling trapped by constraints imposed by her circumstances and upset by emotions and thoughts she can neither understand nor control, she has turned her reservoir of anger inward, periodically voicing severe self-recrimination and self-loathing. These signs of contrition may serve to induce guilt in others, an effective manipulation in which she can give a measure of retribution without further jeopardizing what she sees as her currently precarious, if not hopeless, situation.

Failing to keep deep and powerful sources of inner conflict from overwhelming her controls, this characteristically difficult and conflicted woman may be experiencing the clinical signs of an anxiety disorder. She is unable to rid herself of preoccupations with her tension, fearful presentiments, recurring headaches, fatigue, and insomnia, and she is upset by their uncharacteristic presence in her life. Feeling at the mercy of unknown and upsetting forces that seem to well up within her, she is at a loss as to how to counteract them, but she may exploit them to manipulate others or to complain at great length.



Related to but beyond her characteristic level of emotional responsivity, this woman appears to have been confronted with an event or events in which she was exposed to a severe threat to her life, a traumatic experience that precipitated intense fear or horror on her part. Currently the residuals of this event appear to be persistently reexperienced with recurrent and distressing recollections, such as in cues that resemble or symbolize an aspect of the traumatic event. Where possible, she seeks to avoid such cues and recollections. Where they cannot be anticipated and actively avoided, as in dreams or nightmares, she may become terrified, exhibiting a number of symptoms of intense anxiety. Other signs of distress might include difficulty falling asleep, outbursts of anger, panic attacks, hypervigilance, exaggerated startle response, or a subjective sense of numbing and detachment.

Abuse of either legal or street drugs or both is indicated in the MCMII-III protocol of this woman, who is often erratic, irritable, and negativistic. Her use of drugs may be both a statement of resentful independence from the constraints of conventional life and a means of disjoining her conflicts and liberating her uncharitable impulses toward others. An act of assertive defiance that has undertones of self-destruction, her drug abuse may be employed with a careless indifference to its consequences.

## NOTEWORTHY RESPONSES

*This section identifies specific item responses that may call for further evaluation.*

The client answered the following statements in the direction noted in parentheses. These items suggest specific problem areas that the clinician may wish to investigate.

### Health Preoccupation

1. Item Content Omitted (True)
4. Item Content Omitted (True)
55. Item Content Omitted (True)
74. Item Content Omitted (True)
75. Item Content Omitted (True)
107. Item Content Omitted (True)
130. Item Content Omitted (True)
149. Item Content Omitted (True)



### Special Note:

The content of the test items is included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

### Interpersonal Alienation

10. Item Content Omitted (True)
18. Item Content Omitted (True)
27. Item Content Omitted (True)
48. Item Content Omitted (True)
69. Item Content Omitted (True)
99. Item Content Omitted (True)
161. Item Content Omitted (True)
165. Item Content Omitted (True)
174. Item Content Omitted (True)

### Emotional Dyscontrol

- 14. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 22. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 30. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 34. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 83. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 96. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 134. Item Content Omitted (True)



### Special Note:

The content of the test items is included in the actual reports. To protect the integrity of the test, the item content does not appear in this sample report.

### Self-Destructive Potential

- 44. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 112. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 128. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 142. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 150. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 151. Item Content Omitted (True)
- 171. Item Content Omitted (True)

### Childhood Abuse

- 132. Item Content Omitted (True)

### Eating Disorder

No items endorsed.

SAMPLE

*This section is organized in multi-axial diagnostic format, including detailed subsections on Axes I, II, and IV.*

## POSSIBLE DSM-IV® MULTIAXIAL DIAGNOSES

The following diagnostic assignments should be considered judgments of personality and clinical prototypes that correspond conceptually to formal diagnostic categories. The diagnostic criteria and items used in the MCMIII differ somewhat from those in the *DSM-IV*, but there are sufficient parallels in the MCMIII items to recommend consideration of the following assignments. It should be noted that several *DSM-IV* Axis I syndromes are not assessed in the MCMIII. Definitive diagnoses must draw on biographical, observational, and interview data in addition to self-report inventories such as the MCMIII.

### Axis I: Clinical Syndrome

The major complaints and behaviors of the patient parallel the following Axis I diagnoses, listed in order of their clinical significance and salience.

- 296.33 Major Depression (recurrent, severe, without psychotic features)
- 300.02 Generalized Anxiety Disorder
- 309.81 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

### Axis II: Personality Disorders

Deeply ingrained and pervasive patterns of maladaptive functioning underlie Axis I clinical syndromal pictures. The following personality prototypes correspond to the most probable *DSM-IV* diagnoses

(Disorders, Traits, Features) that characterize this patient.

Personality configuration composed of the following:

- 301.90 Negativistic (Passive-Aggressive) Personality Disorder
- 301.83 Borderline Personality Disorder  
with Dependent Personality Traits  
and Avoidant Personality Features

Course: The major personality features described previously reflect long-term or chronic traits that are likely to have persisted for several years prior to the present assessment. The clinical syndromes described previously tend to be relatively transient, waxing and waning in their prominence and intensity depending on the presence of environmental stress.

#### **Axis IV: Psychosocial and Environmental Problems**

In completing the MCMII-III, this individual identified the following problems that may be complicating or exacerbating her present emotional state. They are listed in order of importance as indicated by the client. This information should be viewed as a guide for further investigation by the clinician.

None Identified

*This section presents the major considerations and recommendations regarding treatment planning.*

#### **TREATMENT GUIDE**

If additional clinical data are supportive of the MCMII-III's hypotheses, it is likely that this patient's difficulties can be managed with either brief or extended therapeutic methods. The following guide to treatment planning is oriented toward issues and techniques of a short-term character, focusing on matters that might call for immediate attention, followed by time-limited procedures designed to reduce the likelihood of repeated relapses.

As a first step, it would appear advisable to implement methods to ameliorate this patient's current state of clinical anxiety, depressive hopelessness, or pathological personality functioning by the rapid implementation of supportive psychotherapeutic measures. With appropriate consultation, targeted psychopharmacologic medications may also be useful at this initial stage.

Once this patient's more pressing or acute difficulties are adequately stabilized, attention should be directed toward goals that would aid in preventing a recurrence of problems, focusing on circumscribed issues and employing delimited methods such as those discussed in the following paragraphs.

A primary short-term goal of treatment with this patient is to aid her in reducing her intense ambivalence and growing resentment of others. With an empathic and brief focus, it should be possible to sustain a productive, therapeutic relationship. With a therapist who can convey genuine caring and firmness, she may be able to overcome her tendency to employ maneuvers to test the sincerity and motives of the therapist. Although she will be slow to reveal her resentment because she dislikes being viewed as an angry person, it can be brought into the open, if advisable, and dealt with in a kind and understanding way. She is not inclined to face her ambivalence, but her mixed feelings and attitudes must be a major focus of treatment. To prevent her from trying to terminate treatment before

improvement occurs or to forestall relapses, the therapist should employ brief and circumscribed techniques to counter the patient's expectation that supportive figures will ultimately prove disillusioning.

Circumscribed interpersonal approaches (e.g., Benjamin, Kiesler) may be used to deal with the seesaw struggle enacted by the patient in her relationship with her therapist. She may alternately exhibit ingratiating submissiveness and a taunting and demanding attitude. Similarly, she may solicit the therapist's affections, but when these are expressed, she may reject them, voicing doubt about the genuineness of the therapist's feelings. The therapist may use cognitive procedures to point out these contradictory attitudes. It is important to keep these inconsistencies in focus or the patient may appreciate the therapist's perceptiveness verbally but not alter her attitudes. Involved in an unconscious repetition-compulsion in which she recreates disillusioning experiences that parallel those of the past, the patient must not only come to recognize the expectations cognitively but may be taught to deal with their enactment interpersonally.

Despite her ambivalence and pessimistic outlook, there is good reason to operate on the premise that the patient can overcome past disappointments. To capture the love and attention only modestly gained in childhood cannot be achieved, although habits that preclude partial satisfaction can be altered in the here and now. Toward that end, the therapist must help her disentangle needs that are in opposition to one another. For example, she both wants and does not want the love of those upon whom she depends. Despite this ambivalence, she enters new relationships, such as in therapy, as if an idyllic state could be achieved. She goes through the act of seeking a consistent and true source of love, one that will not betray her as she believes her parents and others did in the past. Despite this optimism, she remains unsure of the trust she can place in others. Mindful of past betrayals and disappointments, she begins to test her new relationships to see if they are loyal and faithful. In a parallel manner, she may attempt to irritate and frustrate the therapist to check whether he or she will prove to be as fickle and insubstantial as others have in the past. It is here that the therapist's warm support and firmness can play a significant short-term role in reframing the patient's erroneous expectations and in exhibiting consistency in relationship behavior.

Although the rooted character of these attitudes and behavior will complicate the ease with which these therapeutic procedures will progress, short-term and circumscribed cognitive and interpersonal therapy techniques may be quite successful. A thorough reconstruction of personality may not be necessary to alter the patient's problematic pattern. In this regard, family treatment methods that focus on the network of relationships that often sustain her problems may prove to be a useful technique. Group methods may also be fruitfully employed to help the patient acquire self-control and consistency in close relationships.

It is advisable that the therapist not set goals too high because the patient may not be able to tolerate demands or expectations well. Brief therapeutic efforts should be directed to build the patient's trust, to focus on positive traits, and to enhance her confidence and self-esteem.

## **End of Report**

---

NOTE: This and previous pages of this report contain trade secrets and are not to be released in response to requests under HIPAA (or any other data disclosure law that exempts trade secret information from release). Further, release in response to litigation discovery demands should be made only in accordance with your profession's ethical guidelines and under an appropriate protective order.

---

SAMPLE

## ITEM RESPONSES

1: 1	2: 1	3: 2	4: 1	5: 2	6: 1	7: 1	8: 2	9: 2	10: 1
11: 2	12: 2	13: 1	14: 1	15: 1	16: 1	17: 2	18: 1	19: 1	20: 1
21: 2	22: 1	23: 1	24: 2	25: 1	26: 1	27: 1	28: 2	29: 2	30: 1
31: 2	32: 2	33: 1	34: 1	35: 1	36: 1	37: 2	38: 2	39: 1	40: 1
41: 1	42: 2	43: 2	44: 1	45: 1	46: 2	47: 1	48: 1	49: 2	50: 1
51: 2	52: 2	53: 2	54: 2	55: 1	56: 1	57: 1	58: 1	59: 2	60: 1
61: 1	62: 1	63: 2	64: 1	65: 2	66: 2	67: 2	68: 1	69: 1	70: 2
71: 2	72: 1	73: 1	74: 1	75: 1	76: 1	77: 2	78: 2	79: 1	80: 1
81: 2	82: 2	83: 1	84: 1	85: 1	86: 1	87: 2	88: 2	89: 2	90: 2
91: 1	92: 2	93: 1	94: 1	95: 2	96: 1	97: 2	98: 1	99: 1	100: 2
101: 1	102: 2	103: 1	104: 2	105: 2	106: 1	107: 1	108: 2	109: 1	110: 2
111: 1	112: 1	113: 1	114: 1	115: 1	116: 2	117: 1	118: 1	119: 1	120: 1
121: 2	122: 1	123: 1	124: 2	125: 2	126: 1	127: 2	128: 1	129: 2	130: 1
131: 2	132: 1	133: 1	134: 1	135: 1	136: 1	137: 1	138: 2	139: 2	140: 1
141: 1	142: 1	143: 2	144: 1	145: 1	146: 1	147: 1	148: 1	149: 1	150: 1
151: 1	152: 2	153: 2	154: 2	155: 2	156: 2	157: 2	158: 1	159: 1	160: 1
161: 1	162: 1	163: 2	164: 1	165: 1	166: 1	167: 2	168: 2	169: 1	170: 1
171: 1	172: 2	173: 1	174: 1	175: 1					